



Digital topographic data provided by
Geomatics Canada, Natural Resources Canada
Topographic contours at 40 metre interval

MAP 2024-105

NATURAL AIR ABSORBED DOSE RATE

Airborne Geophysical Survey
Terrenceville Area, South Coast, Newfoundland

Map Sheet 3 - Parts of NTS
1M/7, 1M/8, 1M/9, and 1M/10

Scale 1:100 000

Flow and compiled by
TERRAQUEST

Scale 1:100 000

0 2 4 6
(Kilometres)

NAD83 / UTM zone 21N
Universal Transverse Mercator Projection
North American Datum 1983

Atlantic Canada
Opportunities
Agency

Agence de
promotion économique
du Canada atlantique

Canada

Sponsored by

Newfoundland & Labrador
Industry, Energy and Technology

**AIRBORNE GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY OF THE
TERRENCEVILLE AREA, SOUTH COAST, NEWFOUNDLAND**

Map Sheet 3 - Parts of NTS
1M/7, 1M/8, 1M/9, and 1M/10

NATURAL AIR ABSORBED DOSE RATE

Open File NFD3443, Map 2024-105
Map 33 of 42

ABOUT THE SURVEY
Introduction

The aeromagnetic gradient, gamma-ray spectrometric, and VLF-EM airborne geophysical survey of Terrenceville area, Newfoundland, was completed by Terraquest Ltd. Acquisition commenced on August 10, 2023 and was completed by October 1st using a Piper Navajo PA31-310 (C-GABQ) and a Beechcraft King Air C90 (C-GCFZ). The nominal traverse and control line spacings were 150 m and 1000 m respectively and the aircraft flew at a pre-planned drop surface at a mean terrain clearance of 11.4 m at a mean speed of 113.8 m/s. Traverse lines were oriented 135° with orthogonal (045°) control lines. The flight path was recovered using a real-time differential GPS navigation system. Terraquest uses a DAARC500 (RMS Instruments Inc.) for magnetic compensation and data acquisition.

Gamma-ray Spectrometer Data

The airborne gamma-ray measurements were made by a gamma-ray spectrometer using a RS-501 and RSX-5 system by Radiation Solutions Inc. This system included the downward detector of 33.6 L (8 NaI crystals) and an upward detector of 8.4 L (2 NaI crystals). The upward detector was used to monitor variations in background radiation caused by atmospheric radon and it was shielded by the downward crystal array. Each crystal was individually gain stabilized using a sophisticated multi-peak approach, effectively eliminating the need for any pre-stabilization with external sources (Terraquest also uses external sources).

Potassium is measured directly from the 1460 keV gamma-ray photons emitted by potassium-40 (40K), whereas uranium and thorium are measured indirectly from gamma-ray photons emitted by daughter products, namely-214 (214Pb) for uranium and thorium-208 (208Tl) for thorium. These daughter products are assumed to be in equilibrium with their parents, thus gamma-ray spectrometric measurements of uranium and thorium are referred to as equivalent uranium and equivalent thorium (i.e. eU and eTh). The energy windows used to measure potassium, uranium and thorium are: 1370-1570 keV, 1660-1860 keV and 2410-2610 keV, respectively.

Gamma-ray spectra were acquired at one-second intervals. Data processing followed standard procedures as described in IAEA (1991) and IAEA (2003). During processing, the spectra were energy calibrated, and counts were accumulated into the windows as described above.

Counts from the radon detectors were recorded in a 1660 - 1860 keV window and radon at energies greater than 3000 keV was recorded in the cosmic window. The window counts were corrected for background activity of cosmic radiation, radioactivity of the aircraft and atmospheric radon decay products. The window data were then corrected for spectral scattering in the ground, air and detectors. Corrections for deviations from the planned terrain clearance and for variation of temperature and pressure were made prior to conversion to ground concentrations of potassium, uranium and thorium, using factors determined from calibration flights over the Backedridge, Quebec calibration range.

Results of the airborne gamma-ray spectrometer survey represent the average surface concentrations in the region. These results were influenced by overburden, vegetation cover, soil moisture and surface water. As a result, the measured concentrations are typically lower than the actual concentrations in the survey area.

Magnetic Data

The measured magnetic field was sampled 10 times per second using three split-beam cesium vapor magnetometers (Geomatrix 822A) mounted inside two wingtip pods of the aircraft and a tail boom. This sensor array forms a horizontal magnetic gradiometer. By knowing the precise location of the three magnetic sensors in space the in-Line and Cross-Line gradients are calculated. This calculation can be extended to produce the East-West and North-South gradients referenced to geographic North. Furthermore, a Reconstructed Total Field (RTF) is calculated from horizontal gradient data using a method described by Nelson (1994).

The tail magnetometer is used to produce a Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI). For the TMI, the data is lag corrected, and statistically leveled using the differences in magnetic values at the intersections of traverse and tie lines. The International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) defined at the average elevation of 320 m for the date October 10th, 2023 was then removed from the statistically leveled corrected magnetic data. Removal of the IGRF, representing the magnetic field of the Earth's core, yields a residual component related essentially to magnetizations within the Earth's crust.

The first vertical derivative of the magnetic field is the rate of change of the magnetic field in the vertical direction. Computation of the first vertical derivative removes long wavelength features of the magnetic field and significantly improves the resolution of closely spaced and superimposed anomalies (Hood, 1985). Similar to the first vertical derivative, the magnetic signal of the total magnetic intensity is calculated to highlight regional gradients in the data and to help resolve overlapping anomalies (Miller and Singh, 1994). The tilt derivative is calculated to identify shallow basement structures.

VLF-EM Data

Very low frequency (VLF) electromagnetic data were measured by a Matrix-Plus™ VLF-EM system, consisting of 3 orthogonal receiver coils mounted in the tail stinger and a recorder in the cabin of the aircraft. The outputs are the Total Field Amplitude (to the transmitter), the Tilt Angle of the field, Azimuth (to the transmitter), and the Vertical and Planar Ellipticity. From these data, the In-Phase and Quadrature components of the vertical magnetic field can be calculated - as a percentage of the horizontal primary field (i.e. the tangent of the Tilt Angle and Ellipticity).

The VLF stations monitored during survey are selected prior to the start of survey based on expected station availability and accessible signal. However, not all stations monitored yielded usable signal. Generally, the quality of the signal is dictated by the transmitter source power and its distance from the survey area. Furthermore, as with all electromagnetic (EM) wave propagation, the quality and/or power of the signal may be further degraded by the natural earth features between the transmitter source and the receiver. During this survey, only the recorded signal from the NAA-Cutter, Mare (24.0kHz) transmitter provided consistent data with a suitable signal to noise ratio.

References

Hood, P.J., 1985. Gradient measurements in aeromagnetic surveying. *Geophysics*, 50, 891-902.

International Atomic Energy Agency, 1991. Airborne Gamma Ray Spectrometry Surveying. Technical Reports Series, No. 323, Vienna.

International Atomic Energy Agency, 2003. Guidelines for Radiometric Mapping Using Gamma Ray Spectrometry Data. Technical Reports Series, No. 1363, IAEA, Vienna.

Miller, H.G., and Singh, V.J., 1994. Potential field lit - A new concept for location of potential field sources. *Applied Geophysics*, 32, 213-217.

Nelson, J.B., 1994. Leveling total-field aeromagnetic data with measured horizontal gradients. *Geophysics*, 59, 1166-1170.

Data Accessibility

Data compilation and initial map preparation were performed by Terraquest Ltd., Markham, Ontario. Contract and project management were provided by the Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Industry, Energy and Technology.

Correspondence: G.J. Kiloff, Geological Survey, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Industry, Energy and Technology, PO Box 8700, St. John's, NL, A1B 4J6. Email: Gerry.Kiloff@gov.nl.ca

Copies of the map may be obtained from the Geological Survey, Department of Industry, Energy and Technology, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, PO Box 8700, St. John's, NL, Canada, A1B 4J6. This map is subject to revision and modification. Comments to the author concerning errors or omissions are invited.

Department website: <https://www.gov.nl.ca/inf>
Geoscience Online website: <https://glo.govsun.gov.nl.ca>
Email: pub@gov.nl.ca

LOCATION MAP

**NATIONAL TOPOGRAPHIC SYSTEM REFERENCE
AND GEOPHYSICAL MAP INDEX**

**Natural Air Absorbed
Dose Rate
(nGy/h)**

87.3
62.5
48.9
40.5
34.3
29.5
25.5
22.0
18.9
16.0
12.9
8.9
0.1

Planimetric Symbols

Topographic Contour.....
Water Body and Drainage.....
Road.....
Building.....
Powerline.....
Flightline.....

Recommended Citation
Kiloff, G.J., 2024. Natural Air Absorbed Dose Rate, Map Sheet 3 (Parts of NTS 1M/7, 1M/8, 1M/9, and 1M/10). Airborne Geophysical Survey of the Terrenceville area, South Coast, Newfoundland. Department of Industry, Energy and Technology, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador. Geological Survey Open File NFD3443, Map 2024-105. Scale 1:100 000.

Copyright
Open file reports and maps issued by the Geological Survey Division of the Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Industry, Energy and Technology are made available for public use without being formally edited or peer reviewed. They are based upon preliminary data and evaluation. The purchaser agrees not to provide digital reproduction or copy of this product to a third party. Any and all derivative products should acknowledge the source of the data.

Disclaimer
The Geological Survey, a division of the Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Industry, Energy and Technology (the "authors and publishers"), retains the sole right to the original data and information found in any product produced. The authors and publishers assume no legal liability or responsibility for any alterations, changes or misrepresentations made by third parties with respect to these products or for derivative products made by third parties. Please consult with the Geological Survey to ensure originality and correctness of data and/or products.